UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,098	12/14/2004	Michael Hartung	PAT-00391	5412	
26922 BASF CORPO	7590 12/19/200 <b>RATION</b>	8	EXAMINER		
Patent Departm 1609 BIDDLE		MAYEKAR, KISHOR			
MAIN BUILDI	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WYANDOTTE	E, MI 48192		1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM cdavenport@cantorcolburn.com Mgolota@CantorColburn.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,098	HARTUNG ET AL	HARTUNG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kishor Mayekar	1795				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this conconed (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	September 2008					
·		is action is non-final.					
3)	, <del></del>						
- , <b></b>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Bures  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been recaule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application				

# DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

1. Claims 1-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein

(US 5,965,000) in light of or in view of Ikenoue et al. (US 6,730,203 B2), for reasons as

of record.

2. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein

'000 in light of or in view of Ikenoue '203. Klein in light or in view Ikenoue is applied above.

Klein further discloses that the electrocoat concentrate has a solids content of between

35 and 50 wt% (c. 2, 1. 38-51), a finished electrocoat has a solids content of 20 wt%

(Example 3), and bismuth salicylate is one of the examples of the bismuth compound used

with a concentration of 0.5 to 5 wt% (c. 6, 1. 41-43). To the recited solids content of the

composition, whether concentrate or finished composition, and the concentration of the

bismuth compound, a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the prior art contain

numerical ranges of components that touch, overlap, or are included within one another, In

re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90.

Further, since bismuth salicylate and bismuth subsalicylate are a same compound,

the recited bismuth content range is in Klein's bismuth salicylate.

As to the subject matter of claim 17, since the claim is directed to a composition, the sequence of adding ingredients was held to be obvious (see MPEP 2144.IV.C). Further, Klein discloses the incorporation of bismuth compound in pigment pastes (c. 7, l. 1-4).

As to the subject matter of claims 19 and 20, Klein discloses a grinding resin in Example 3.b).

### Double Patenting

3. Claims 1-15 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4, 7-14, 16 and 18 of copending Application No. 11/416,909, for reasons as of record.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 25 September 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that "Klein actually uses, in all the examples of an electrocoat composition, a bismuth salt of an aliphatic carboxylic acid, ... bears no predictable or obvious relationship to bismuth subsalicylate", since Klein discloses in c. 6, l. 25-55 that bismuth compound is aromatic, araliphatic and aliphatic mono- or dicarboxylic acids and examples of the bismuth compound includes bismuth salicylate, bismuth 4-hydroxybenzoate, bismuth lactate, bismuth dimethylolpropionate, and uses bismuth dimethylolpropionate in Example 3, one of the four examples of bismuth compounds

disclosed, the selection of bismuth compounds among the four examples of bismuth compounds would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Further, bismuth salicylate is also known as bismuth subsalicylate according to Material Safety Data Sheet of bismuth salicylate from Gelest, Inc.

As the argument that Klein does not mention of bismuth subsalicylate, since Klein discloses bismuth salicylate as one of the four bismuth salts and since bismuth salicylate is known as bismuth subsalicylate, it's the examiner position to maintain the rejection.

As to the argument that Klein discloses that bismuth salts of aliphatic hydroxycarboxylic acids are particularly suitable and that bismuth salts are particularly preferably water-soluble and does not mention the possible adverse affects of a bismuth salt on leveling and surface defects in the coating, the examiner finds this is unpersuasive. Since Klein discloses the use of bismuth salicylate as one of the four bismuth compounds in the composition and the bismuth compound is water-soluble or dispersed in the composition and since bismuth salicylate is also known as bismuth subsalicylate, the rejection stands.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1795

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on

Application/Control Number: 10/518,098 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kishor Mayekar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795